

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,955	10/27/2000	Kazuyoshi Tamura	107703	3185
25944	7590 09/30/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
	P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		ANDERSON, MATTHEW A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
•			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T			
1'		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/696,955	TAMURA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Matthew A. Anderson	1765		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.3	l <u>uly 2003</u> .			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under				
<u> </u>	ion of Claims				
•	Claim(s) 1,3 and 5 is/are pending in the applic				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirement.			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2002</u> is/ar	re: a) accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	·			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•		
14)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachmen	at(s)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	rademark Office		···		

丸

Application/Control Number: 09/696,955

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ke et al. (US 6,284,093 B1) in view of Wolf et al. (Vol. 1 pp. 8, 23-27, 32-33, 59, 1986) and Tamatsuka et al. (US 6,299,982 B1).

Ke et al. discloses a non-dielectric ring which surrounds a workpiece wafer in a plasma semiconductor processing chamber. The ring is disclosed as consisting of Si of the single crystal variety in col. 6 lines 31-38. In col. 14 lines 66+ and col. 15 lines 1-10 the cylindrically symmetrical nature of the ring with respect to the wafer axis is disclosed.

Ke et al. does not disclose the oxygen or nitrogen concentration in the ring or the method of forming the ring.

Wolf et al. discloses known single crystal Si processing methods. Cz silicon was shown on page 8 to be well known. On page 23 –25 disclose methods of forming wafers. Etching of the surface to remove contamination and damage from metal working is also disclosed. Etching of On page 32 is disclosed the incorporation of

Art Unit: 1765

oxygen and nitrogen into Cz silicon to increase the warpage resistance of the Si. This warpage resistance would be of great value in a batch processing chamber such as that described by Ke et al. In pages 531-532, acidic and alkaline etchants are described including aqueous mixtures of HNO₃, HF, Acetic Acid (CH₃COOH) and KOH /isopropyl alcohol. Water is described as a common diluent for etchants. On page 59, in the first paragraph, it is related that interstitial oxygen forms oxygen precipitates in Cz-Si which contribute to "the basis for intrinsic gettering"

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine Ke et al. and Wolf et al. because Ke et al. discloses Si mono-crystalline focus rings and Wolf et al. discloses known ways of working with and improving the warpage resistance of items formed from such Si.

The combination does not specify a ring with certain atomic concentrations of oxygen or nitrogen.

Tamatsuka et al. discloses Si wafers made from a Cz Si ingot which has nitrogen concentration of 1x10¹⁰ atoms/cm³ to 5x10¹⁵ atoms/cm³ and a oxygen concentration of 1x10¹⁸ atoms/cm³.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine the Si of Tamatsuka with the previous combination because Wolf et al. discloses the superior warpage resistance of Si doped with oxygen and nitrogen.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to form a monocrystalline Si focus ring with a nitrogen concentration

Application/Control Number: 09/696,955 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

of 5x10¹³ atoms/cm³ to 5x10¹⁵ atoms/cm³ and a oxygen concentration of 5x10¹⁷ atoms/cm³ to 1.5 x10¹⁸ atoms/cm³ because Si rings were known, such doping concentration of N and O was known for Si, and it was known that N and O increased the warpage resistance of Si. The intrinsic gettering property of Si with oxygen versus Si with low amounts of oxygen was also obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art as described by Wolf et al on page 59.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to etch the surface of the focus ring with ether acidic or alkaline etchants because etching the surface of Si to remove processing damage and contamination was known to Wolf et al.

As far as the process for the production of the focus ring, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to form a ring from Cz monocrystalline Si because Ke et al. discloses such a shape, Wolf et al. discloses metalworking of Si, Tamatsuka et al. discloses Si with such doping concentrations and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to bore a hole thus producing a ring as in Ke et al.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/17/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,955

Art Unit: 1765

The applicant's argument that Ke combined does not suggests every aspect of the applicant's claim is noted but is not convincing. Ke uses a pure Si focus ring. Wolf et al. discloses known methods of producing Si in shapes having a round outer perimeter (i.e. a ring). Wolf et al. also discloses the oxygen concentrations of grown single-crystal Si which is in the range claimed by the applicants. The examiner must infer from this evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious that Si pure enough for forming semiconductor wafers and devices thereon is pure enough to form a focus ring used in an semiconductor wafer etching chamber. This is so because Ke et al. uses the Si focus ring for holding semiconducting Si wafers (see col. 3 lines 10-20). Additionally, the gettering ability of oxygen concentrations in Cz-Si within the applicant's claimed range were given by Wolf et al. forming the basis of the industry standard intrinsic gettering (page 59). Nitrogen was known to have a warpage resistant effect.

The argument against Tamatsuka et al. is not persuasive. Tamatsuka et al. merely shows common oxygen and nitrogen concentrations known in Cz silicon. Since Ke uses Si for the focus ring, the values of Tamatsuka are very applicable in that they show semiconducting grade single crystal Si concentrations.

The argument that there is no motivation to combine Ke, Wolf and Tamatsuka is not persuasive. The examiner gave the motivation for combination above to be lowered warpage. Since the ring is used in a structure capacity, lowered warpage would have been to those of ordinary skill to be desirable.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,955

Art Unit: 1765

- -

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain

features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies

(i.e., improved gettering) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims

are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read

into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir.

1993). Wolf et al. discloses that oxygen in Si forms the basis for intrinsic gettering.

In response to the argument that the combination is unreasonable is not convincing. The combined references at least suggest the Si material of the applicant. Ke uses a single crystal Si which, by Wolf et al. and Tamatsuka, can have both a gettering effect and a warpage resistive effect.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew A. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (703) 305-2667. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/696,955

Art Unit: 1765

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

MAA September 29, 2003